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## Problem Background

- Core Math Program
- MA103, MA104, MA205, MA206
- Advanced Math Program
- MA153, MA255, MA206
- Special selection process required for the Advanced Math track
- Reduce the amount of summer testing
- Instructors don't have to grade as much
- Kill fewer trees
- Students don't have to take as many tests during Cadet Basic Training
- Predict the success of cadets in the advanced mathematics program


##  <br> WEST POINT.Data Collected on Cadets

- Class Rank
- SAT Math Score
- ACT Math Score
- CEER Score (combination of SAT/ACT Scores and class rank)
- AP Calculus AB/BC scores
- Scores in both MA153 and MA255 (for regression training)
- All data used to determine if a cadet is going to be successful in the advanced math track must be collected before the cadet takes any math classes at USMA

- Not all cadets take both the ACT or SAT tests
- Many cadets do not take the AB/BC test
- High school rank is a wishy-washy number at best
- Lots and lots of missing data
- Not all cadets take both the ACT or SAT tests
- Many cadets do not take the AB/BC test
- High school rank is a wishy-washy number at best
- Lots and lots of missing data


## UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY <br> WEST POINT.

## Concentration on $A B / B C$




##  <br> WEST POINT. Decision Trees for MA153


75.2281 .54


## WEST POINTTResulting Confusion Matrix

Had to decide on a threshold for "failure." First guess: scoring below 80\% in the class

|  | True | Under 80\% | Over 80\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Predicted | 9 | 8 |  |
| Under $80 \%$ | 9 | 1226 |  |
| Over $80 \%$ | 146 |  |  |

88.9\% correct prediction rate


## WEST POINTPrediction with new data

## We perfectly predicted everyone that would score over an 80\%!

|  | True | Under 80\% | Over 80\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Predicted | 2 | 6 |  |
| Under 80\% | 25 | 261 |  |
| Over $80 \%$ | 35 |  |  |

86.5\% correct prediction rate


## 

Correct prediction of success on new data: 86.5\%

However, if we were just to say that everyone that everyone was in the program was going to pass, we would be correct $87 \%$ of the time, a better prediction rate than our model.

Why is this prediction so terrible?


Density Plot of MA153


Density Plot of MA255


Off of the training set: $67 \%$ correct

|  | True | Under 80\% | Over 80\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Predicted |  |  |  |
| Under $80 \%$ | 462 | 303 |  |
| Over $80 \%$ | 155 | 469 |  |

Off of the test set: 59.5\% correct

|  | True | Under 80\% | Over 80\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Predicted |  |  |  |
| Under $80 \%$ | 84 | 82 |  |
| Over $80 \%$ | 41 | 97 |  |

## Problems

Using 88\% as the threshold seems to yield better results, but there are problems associated with both false positives and false negatives.

## Recommendations

The following cadets should, without need for retesting, be immediately offered a seat in the Advanced Core
Mathematics

## Program:

1. Those cadets with an AP score of 4 or 5 on the $A B$ Calculus exam.
2. Those cadets with an AP score of 3,4 or 5 on the BC Calculus exam.
3. Those cadets with a CEER score greater than 654.5, assuming they have taken at least a single variable calculus course.
4. Those cadets with a SAT-Math score greater than 715, assuming they have taken at least a single variable calculus course.


## Conclusion

The Advanced Core Mathematics Program leadership went with the following steps from the recommendations of this study:

- Those cadets with an AP score of 5 on the AB Calculus exam or an AP score of 5 on the BC Calculus exam were given the choice of enrolling in the Advanced Core Mathematics Program

The model is not currently being used for predictions, but could be used as a tool to inform leadership about potential of a student.

##  <br> WEST POINT. Future Work

Impute the missing data to fit a different type of regression for predictive reasons.

Use Bayesian methods for something somehow.

## WEST POINT. AB Scores

| Score | Total \# of <br> Cadets | \# with <br> MA153 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \% with <br> MA153 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \# With <br> MA255 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \% with <br> MA255 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 436 | 40 | 9.2 | 27 | 6.3 |
| 4 | 265 | 41 | 15.5 | 21 | 8.2 |
| 3 | 75 | 15 | 20.0 | 7 | 9.6 |
| 2 | 11 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 18.2 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 |



| Score | Total \# of <br> Cadets | \# with <br> MA153 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \% with <br> MA153 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \# With <br> MA255 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ | \% with <br> MA255 <br> Final <br> Grade $<80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 208 | 5 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.0 |
| 4 | 79 | 7 | 8.9 | 8 | 10.0 |
| 3 | 66 | 9 | 13.6 | 4 | 6.3 |
| 2 | 5 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 6 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 |



